EXHIBIT F

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated December 19, 2006, has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Claims 56, 60 and 65 are hereby amended; and claims 66-69 are hereby added. Accordingly, claims 56-69 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 56-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over IBM color display (IBM 9516-A03)(hereinafter "IBM 9516") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,835,139 to Yun et al. (hereinafter "Yun"). Claims 56-65 are also provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 83-84, 88 and 92-93 of copending Application No. 10/787,933 (hereinafter "the '933 application").

In the Office Action, the Examiner states "IBM color display teaches a flat panel display device . . . wherein the flat panel display device is fixed to the rear part of the housing with a fastening part (2) comprising a fastening hole at the rear surface and within a lateral boundary of the flat panel display device." Applicants note that this statement is incorrect for several reason. That is, IBM 9615 does not teach or suggest "the flat display panel . . . between the first frame and the second frame, the first frame including a fastening part behind the display area at a rear surface of the first frame . . . the first frame being fixed to the rear part of the housing with the fastening part at the rear surface of the first frame," as recited by at least independent claim 56 of the present application. The IBM 9516 is a front mounted display. That is, the flat panel display of the IBM 9516 is mounted by screws that go *through* the rear surface of the rear tray and attach to the front housing. So, nothing in the IBM 9516 teaches or suggests the first frame being fixed to the rear part of the housing. Applicants refer the Examiner to the cited figure on page 9 of the IBM 9516 reference. At best, the figure shows the screws (2) go through the back cover from the back to the front. There is no teaching or suggestion that there is any fixing at the first frame. And in fact, in the physical device, the fixing occurs at the front housing of the IBM 9516.

Yun fails to cure these deficiencies in the IBM 9516. Therefore, Applicants submit that claim 56, and claims 57-59 and 66-67, which depend from claim 56, are allowable over the cited references.

Moreover, IBM 9615 does not teach or suggest "a fastener fixing the rear frame to the rear portion of the housing using the fastening hole associated with the rear surface of the rear frame, wherein the flat display panel and the backlight are disposed between the front and rear frames and wherein the fastener is behind the display area," as recited by at least independent claim 60 of the present application. As discussed above with respect to claim 56, the flat panel display of the IBM 9516 is mounted by screws that go *through* the rear surface of the rear tray and attach to the front housing. So, nothing in the IBM 9516 teaches or suggests a fastener fixing the rear frame to the rear portion of the housing using the fastening hole associated with the rear surface of the rear frame. Applicants refer the Examiner to the cited figure on page 9 of the IBM 9516 reference. At best, the figure shows the screws (2) go through the back cover from the back to the front. There is no teaching or suggestion that there is any fixing of the rear frame to the rear portion of the housing using the fastening hole associated with the rear surface of the rear frame. And in fact, in the physical device, there is no such fixing of the rear frame to the rear portion of the housing of the IBM 9516.

Yun fails to cure these deficiencies in the IBM 9516. Therefore, Applicants submit that claim 60, and claims 61-65 and 68-69, which depend from claim 60, are allowable over the cited references.

Applicants acknowledge the provisional obviousness double patenting rejection of claims 56-65 over the copending '933 application. Applicants note that the claim amendments made herein and the claim amendments recently made in the '933 application render the provisional obviousness double patenting rejection moot.

Applicants believe the foregoing amendments place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to

discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: June 19, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By _____
Rebecca G. Rudich
Registration No.: 41,786
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorney for Applicant